

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

304

CRM-M-17347-2015
Date of Decision: 25.01.2023

Mrs. Parveen Singh	Versus	Petitioner
Central Bureau of Investigation		Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for
 Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Shubhra Singh, Standing counsel for CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

Learned counsel for CBI submits that the petitioner is seeking quashing of FIR whereas the charges have been framed.

Since the judicial order has been passed which has eclipsed the police report under Section 173 CrPC, as such, the petitioners have to challenge the same.

Given above, the present petition filed under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of FIR without challenging the framing of charges, is not maintainable.

Consequently, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a petition for challenging the framing of charges, if so desire. It is made clear in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

25.01.2023
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/no
Whether reportable?	Yes/no