

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

136

2023:PHHC:131497

CR-1549-2019

Date of decision: 10.10.2023

RAJNI

..Petitioner

Versus

VIJAY KUMAR

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Surinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Krishan Kanha, Advocate
for Mr. N.S. Lucky, Advocate
for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The learned trial Court has permitted the respondent to amend the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in order to replace in para 6, 7 and 8, the expression “mother” with that of “divorced sister”. The correctness of the aforesaid order has been challenged in this revision petition.
2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that it amounts to withdrawal of admission of the petition and the petitioner could not be permitted to amend the petition.
3. This Court has considered the submissions.
4. Only a typographical error has been permitted to be corrected by the Family Court.
5. In such circumstances, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed accordingly.
7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 10th, 2023

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Ay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No