

(328)

2023:PHHC:069958

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8347 of 1996

Date of decision : 15.05.2023

Kamaljit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Punjab State Agricultural Board and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate and
Mr. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.2 submits that the petitioners as well as respondent No.2 have since been retired from service, the questions with respect to their promotions have been rendered inconsequential.

For last two dates, the petitioners were unrepresented. Today also same is the situation.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

However, in case the petitioners or their legal representatives may feel that they have a sufficient reason to seek revival of the writ petition, liberty is granted to them to move an appropriate application.

May 15, 2023

Anjal

**(Pankaj Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No