

103

2023:PHHC:059731-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRA-D-309-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.04.2023

ANIL

...Appellant

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Manvinder Sidhu, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

CRA-D-309-2023

1. The learned counsel for the appellant seeks, and, is granted permission to withdraw the main appeal. The reason for the above withdrawal is rested, upon the factum *qua* earlier to the institution of the instant appeal before this Court, the convict has already instituted CRA-D-119-2023 before this Court. Therefore, but obviously the earlier instituted appeal is maintainable, whereas, the subsequent criminal appeal, which is the instant one, is not maintainable before this Court, more so, at the instance of the same convict.
2. Dismissed as withdrawn.
3. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand(s), disposed of.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)

JUDGE

27.04.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No