

129.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4672-2023

Date of Decision: 09.03.2023

PREM PRAKASH

.... Petitioner

Versus

INDIAN RED CROSS SOCIETY AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Harjit Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 to 4 to re-instate him on the post of District Training Officer, Narnaul in view of the order dated 15.09.2022 Annexure P-6 passed by the Appellate Authority.

The grievance of the petitioner is limited to the extent that despite the order having been passed by the appellate authority to reinstate him, the needful has not been done. It is submitted that the petitioner herein was appointed as Computer Operator and subsequently promoted as District Training Officer vide Annexure P-2, however, on the basis of a complaint, his appointment was challenged and vide order dated 18.07.2022, he was demoted. Against the said order, he preferred appeal which was allowed vide order dated 15.09.2022 (Annexure P-6) with a direction that he be reinstated as District Training Officer, Narnaul with retrospective effect.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that the petitioner continues to work on the post of Computer Operator

despite the order in appeal in his favour reinstating to the post of District Training Officer and he is not being paid salary to that effect.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Shubham Pathania, Advocate for Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

I have heard counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, would submit that the instant writ petition itself be considered as representation on behalf of the petitioner and decided in a time bound manner.

Without commenting on merits of the case and keeping in view the limited prayer made, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction that the instant writ petition itself shall be treated as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and would be decided by the respondents by passing a speaking order, within a period of two weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in accordance with law, and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

09.03.2023

shruti

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No