

204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-661-1991
Date of Decision:- 13.10.2023

NIRMAL SINGHPetitioner

Verses

RATTAN SINGH AND OTHERS ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present:- None for the appellant.

Ms. Suman Devi, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate
for the respondents.

On 24.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

*“It is mentioned in the order dated 23.08.2017 that counsel
for the appellant has since passed away and notice to the
appellant was issued for 04.10.2017.*

*As per report of the Registry the appellant is not residing at
the given address.*

*In the above circumstances, let one more attempt be made
for the service of the appellant by way of affixation of the notice at
the address given in the head note of the appeal. Adjourned to
28.09.2023.”*

In spite of best attempts, the service of the appellant could not
be effected including by way of affixation also.

The present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution with
liberty to the appellant to revive the appeal by filing an appropriate
application.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

13.10.2023
neelam

Whether speaking /reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No