

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10072-2019 (O&M)
Date of order: 20.03.2023

Dharam Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Central Bureau of Investigation & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev Anand, Advocate for the respondent-CBI.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Aggrieved by order dated 20.2.2019 (Annexure P-4) passed by Special Judge, CBI, Punjab, SAS Nagar, Mohali, the petitioner had come up before this Court.

At the time of issuance notice, the petitioner had confined his prayer to the issue of summoning the lawyer i.e. Sh. Navkiran Singh and Clerk of the High Court namely Harshinder Singh, as witnesses, as mentioned in paragraph 8 of the impugned order.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the impugned order.

A perusal of paragraph 8 of the impugned order reveals that CBI wanted to examine Sh. Navkiran Singh, Advocate and Harshinder Singh, Clerk, to prove the copies of Writ Petition No.691 of 1994.

Needless to say that certified copy of the said writ petition is suffice and it only needed examination of the person who had prepared such copy and there is no need to examine Sh. Navkiran Singh, Advocate or his Clerk Harshinder Singh or any other Clerk through whom said writ petition was filed.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed to the extent mentioned

CRM-M-10072-2019

Neutral Citation No.2023:PHHC:040413

above. However, it is clarified that in case CBI wants fresh certified copy of the writ petition, registry shall provide the same to it without any delay.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

March 20, 2023
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No