

264

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6824-2021

Date of decision: 24.05.2023

Atul Singla and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.1-UIO.

Mr. Naveen Chopra, Advocate for respondent No.2-CBSE.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to correct the name of parents of the petitioners in the matriculation certificates from 'Monika' to 'Monika Aggarwal' and 'Vinod' to 'Vinod Kumar Singla', in the matriculation certificate.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the entire issue with respect to changes/corrections to be made in the certificate issued by the Central Board of Secondary Education has been adjudicated upon by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in a detailed judgment passed in case titled as **Jigya Yadav (minor) (through Guardian/father Hari Singh) Vs. CBSE (Central Board of Secondary Education) and others** and other connected matters

reported as 2021(7) SCC 535 by a three Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has prayed that the petitioners be permitted to file representation keeping in view the parameters laid down in the abovesaid judgment and further prays that the respondents be directed to consider the said representation and pass a speaking order within a period of six weeks from the date the said representation is received by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-CBSE has stated that they have no objection to the said course of action.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of in the following terms:-

- a) The petitioners are granted liberty to file a detailed representation and annex all the documents in light of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Jigya Yadav (minor)'s case* (Supra). Learned counsel for the petitioners has stated that the petitioners would submit the said representation along with certified copies of the relevant record and would get the said representation forwarded through the school.
- b) Respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said representation as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation, in accordance with law.

5. Needless to mention here that it would be open to respondent No.2-Board to require the petitioners or the school to submit any document which would be required for finally considering the case of the petitioners.

24.05.2023

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No