

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108-CRM-M-11724-2023

Date of Decision: 09.03.2023

GURADITA SINGH

... Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in complaint case No.SC/151/2019 registered on 31.10.2019 (Old/Initial Complaint No.COMI/2/2016 dated 13.01.2016) under Sections 326/324/323/506/148/149 IPC (Annexure P2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute between the petitioner and the other accused has been settled amicably along with complainant, in the instant complaint as well as in the FIR, which was a cross-case by the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and do not controvert this very fact and submit that it is a case where the petitioner has been declared PO vide order dated 05.10.2019 (Annexure P4) in a complaint (Annexure P2).

Considering the aforesaid fact that the parties have amicably settled the issue, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial court. In case, the petitioner moves an application for bail, the same shall be considered on the same day and disposed of in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

09.03.2023

V.Vishal

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No