

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.11486 of 2023
Date of decision: 10th March, 2023

Shri Sanatan Dharam Welfare Society (Regd.) & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate directions to the official respondents to conduct fair and impartial inquiry on the complaints made by the petitioners regarding the act and conduct of the private respondents.

Learned counsel submits that despite repeated complaints given to the official respondents against the private respondents, who allegedly entered into the temple premises and thereafter, indulged in rioting etc. inside the temple, they have failed to take any action against the said respondents.

Notice of motion to the official respondents.

At the asking of Court, Mr. Ramdeep Pratap Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent/State. Let a copy of the paper book be supplied to him.

In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioners, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar Mohali is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.2 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 16/17.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) then the petitioners shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in '**Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.**' 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No