

**252-a IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10111-2020

Date of Decision: January 16, 2023

Ranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vishal Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

On the last date of hearing, parties were directed to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded with regard to the compromise. However, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

It is submitted by learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant that the petitioner did not appear before the Trial Court for getting his statement recorded.

In these circumstances, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

January 16, 2023

sarita

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No