

390-1
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-4460-1998 (O&M)
Date of Decision:11.12.2023

ROOP LAL AND OTHERSPetitioners

V/s.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA**

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.K. Singal, Addl. A.G., Punjab

Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate and
Mr.Samuel Gill and Mr. Randhier Bawa, Advocate
for respondents No. 5 and 6.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners by way of this Writ Petition assail the assignment of seniority to persons opted for District Mansa.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents has pointed out that the *inter se* seniority was determined according to the erstwhile District Bhatinda. The seniority *inter se* between the parties has been maintained while issuing the seniority list of Patwaris allocated to the District Mansa. Admittedly the petitioners are junior to private respondents in District Bathinda and therefore, the same *inter se* seniority is maintained.
3. Keeping in view the above principle, this Court does not find any reason to interfere with the seniority list published in respect of District Mansa. The Writ Petition fails and the same is accordingly **dismissed**.
4. All the pending applications in this Writ Petition stand disposed of accordingly.

December 11, 2023

Ess Kay

[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes</i>	/	<i>No</i>