

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

250

CRWP No.2151 of 2023
Date of Decision: 29.08.2023

Minakshi Sehgal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: ***HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA***

Present:- Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Sidharth Attri, AAG, Punjab
 for respondents No.1 to 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect her life, liberty, property and dignity and also to decide her representation Annexure P-1 and to take appropriate legal action against respondents No.4 to 6, who happen to be her husband and parents-in-law respectively.

2. Status-report submitted on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 (by way of the affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali) is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

3. Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of

respondents No.4 to 6 in this case and has submitted his Power of Attorney in the Court and the same has also been placed on the file.

4. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, while submitting that if there ever need be, the petitioner would move a fresh representation to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali, exclusively praying therein for the protection of her life and liberty and he further prays that the said respondent be directed to consider and decide the said representation, if so moved, expeditiously.

5. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection to the above-discussed submission as well as the prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn with a direction to respondent No.2 that in case, the petitioner moves any representation to him, restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving the said protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of facts and circumstances of the matter, as expeditiously as possible.

29.08.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No