

290+122

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-9585-CWP-2023 in/and  
CWP-4518-2023

Date of decision : 31.05.2023

Dr.Shruti Bedi and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

Panjab University and others

...Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ**

Present: Mr. Kannan Malik, Advocate  
for the applicant-petitioners.

Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.1, 2, 3 and 5.

Mr. R.K.Hooda, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*

**MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(Oral**

**CM-9585-CWP-2023**

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Replication to the written statement by respondent Nos.1 to 3 is  
taken on record.

**Main case**

Petitioners have filed this writ petition under Article 226  
Constitution of India, being aggrieved against the action of respondent Nos.3  
and 5, whereby the officiating charge of the Director of respondent No.3-  
Institute was handed over to respondent No.5-Sarabjit Kaur and have prayed  
for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus/certiorari, for placing on  
record the order of interim arrangement and for quashing of the same as this  
decision is violative of the Legal Education Rules, 2008 framed by respondent

No.4-Bar Council of India. Further, a writ of mandamus has been prayed for directing the respondent Nos.1 and 2 to make regular appointment to the post of Director of respondent No.3-Institution upon completion of the tenure of present Director-Rajinder Kaur.

Today, Mr. Kannan Malik, learned counsel for the petitioners states that vide order dated 31.05.2023, respondent No.5-Sarabjit Kaur has been appointed as Director to respondent No.3-University Institute of Legal Studies, Panjab University and with this development, the present writ petition is rendered infructuous. He states that he has instructions to withdraw this petition as the petitioners may challenge the order dated 31.05.2023 appointing respondent No.5 as Director of respondent No.3/Institute.

The above prayer is not opposed by learned counsel for the respondents.

The petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)  
JUDGE

31.05.2023

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :  
Whether Reportable :

|     |    |
|-----|----|
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |