

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2035-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2023

Parveen @ Lilu

.....Petitioner.

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Ms. Harshita, Advocate and Mr. Ishant, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.

Instant petition has been filed seeking extension of furlough
to the petitioner for a period of eight weeks.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that wife of the petitioner
delivered a male child on 18.02.2023 through cesarean section. It is further
submitted that there is no body in the family to take care of the wife as also
the newly born child.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, learned Additional Advocate General,
Haryana, accepts notice.

Learned State counsel would oppose the prayer made in the
petition on the ground that there are no documents placed on record to
substantiate the assertion made by counsel for the petitioner. He further
submits that the documents appended at Annexures P-2 and P-3 are prior

to the stage of delivery.

Be that as it may, we are of the view that a limited concession of further extension of furlough for a period of two weeks beyond 10.03.2023 may be granted to the petitioner. It is not the case made out on behalf of the State that the petitioner upon grant of furlough has misused such concession.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of granting the petitioner the extension of furlough for a period of two weeks beyond 10.03.2023.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.03.2023

preeti

whether speaking/non speaking

whether reportable/non reportable

yes/no

yes/no