

2023:PHHC:086751

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M No.12835 of 2021
Date of Decision: 12.07.2023

Vijay Rana @ Vijay Singh Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate appearing for
Mr. Naresh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohinder Singh Joshi, Addl. A.G., Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Sankalp Sagar, Advocate
for the complainant.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the relief of anticipatory/pre-arrest bail in the criminal case arisen out of the FIR bearing No.09 dated 24.01.2021 registered at Police Station Talwara, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 498-A & 406 IPC.

2. It is pertinent to mention here that vide the order dated 22.02.2022, the Co-ordinate Bench had extended the relief of interim bail to the petitioner while directing him to join in the investigation on 07.03.2022 and to return all the dowry articles, testimonials and the personal documents, as were in his possession. On 14.07.2022, learned

State counsel apprised the Court that though the petitioner did join in the investigation and had returned some of the documents of the complainant but the gold ornaments were yet to be recovered from him and in view of this submission, the Co-ordinate Bench had directed the petitioner to re-join in the investigation on 21.07.2022 and to co-operate with the Investigating Agency.

3. Today, learned State counsel, on the instructions from ASI Naresh Kumar No.238, Hoshiarpur, from the above-referred Police Station, informs the Court that after 08.03.2022, the petitioner did not join in the investigation proceedings and the gold jewellery items, i.e the '*Istridhan*' of the complainant-wife, are yet to be recovered from him. Thus, it becomes crystal clear that the petitioner has not complied with the afore-said order as passed on 14.07.2022.

4. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on the instructions from the arguing counsel, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

5. Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

6. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

12.07.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No