

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8615 of 2023(O&M)

Date of Decision:27.04.2023

Jagan Dagar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Deepkaran Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl.AG., Haryana
and Mr. Saurabh Mago, AAG., Haryana.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

1. Grievance raised by the petitioners in this writ petition is that pursuant to communication dated 12.01.2023, Annexure P-1, from the Director General, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Panchkula to the Deputy Commissioner, Faridabad, delimitation was being carried out by way of a process alien to applicable statutory provisions.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has furnished a copy of communication dated 26.04.2023, addressed to the learned Advocate General, Haryana, from the Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, Panchkula, wherein it is stated that communication dated 12.01.2023, has not been acted upon. Contents of communication dated 26.04.2023 read as under:-

“2. In this regard, it is intimated that the letter No. 2AE/2023/1556 dated 12.01.2023 (Annexure-P1) issued by the Directorate of Urban Local Bodies, Haryana regarding re-delimitation of wards of Municipal Corporation, Faridabad has not been acted upon till now. Further, it is mentioned that for

taking into consideration the population on the basis of Parivar Pehchan Patra for delimitation of wards of Municipal Corporations, Faridabad, the Bill No. 1 was introduced before the Haryana Vidhan Sabha in its Budget Session on 17.03.2023 and the same was withdrawn by Government on 21.03.2023.

3. Further, the process of introducing it again before Haryana Vidhan Sabha with certain amendments is going on for taking into consideration the population on the basis of Parivar Pehchan Patra for the purpose of delimitation of wards and making the provisions in the relevant Act by following due procedure. The delimitation will be carried out strictly in accordance with the statutory provisions of Act/ Rules.”

3. Copy of communication dated 26.04.2023, is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that in view of the above, present writ petition is rendered infructuous.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners is unable to deny the same.

6. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of as infructuous.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

April 27, 2023.

s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.