

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238 CRWP-2181-2023
Date of Decision: 04.09.2023

Manjit Kaur Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. A.M. Punchhi, P.P., U.T., Chandigarh for respondent No. 5.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Apprehending threat to her life at the hands of private respondent and also because she is a witness in a case under Sections 306, 353, 332, 218, 195, 166, 167, 186, 120-B IPC and Sections 7, 8, 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act and allegations are against the private respondent, the petitioner had come up before this Court seeking protection.

2. Short reply dated 04.09.2023 filed by State counsel today in the Court is taken on record. State counsel submits that now the security has been provided to the petitioner subject to periodic reviews after evaluation of threat perception as per State Security Policy 2013.

3. Given above, the present petition is partly allowed. State to provide adequate security to the petitioner after verifying the threat perceptions on weekly review basis. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to come to this Court again, if need arise. It is clarified that disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way of petitioner, in case, the petitioner chooses to file any application before the Human Rights Commission or any other Courts of law in accordance with law. It is further clarified that it shall be permissible for the State to withdraw the security at any time when according to them the threat perceptions is vanished. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

04.09.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No