

125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.4587 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.03.2023

Kuldeep Singh Grewal

..... Petitioner

versus

Punjab School Education Board & ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Ravi Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Ravinder Kumar Panchal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner by way of present writ petition impugnes the order dated 09.10.2003 (Annexure P-6) whereby his services were ordered to be dismissed.

Present writ petition has been filed in the year 2023. In order to explain the delay learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the interregnum the petitioner was continuously representing to the respondents, however, the respondents-authorities remained inert thereby causing delay of 20 years.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the records of the case.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would not dispute that repeated representations cannot have an effect on extending the period of limitation.

Apart from that as per the orders placed on record after order of dismissal was passed in 2003, the petitioner represented thereafter in 2011. Again he woke up from slumber in the year 2017 which shows that the petitioner was never diligent to pursue his right. Apart from that from the memo of parties, it can be ascertained that the petitioner is well settled and enjoying in United States of America.

In view of the aforesaid, the present writ is barred by delay and laches. No ground is made out warranting interference while exercising jurisdiction under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

Petition stands dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

09.03.2023
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No