

201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-903-1992
DECIDED ON: 10.08.2023

PUNJAB RAIAPPELLANT
VERSUS
MUNICIPAL COMMITTEE PANIPATRESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL.

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Amit Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Aryaman Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. On 01.12.2022, since learned Senior counsel representing the appellant had pleaded no instructions, notice was ordered to be issued to both parties.
2. As per the report of the Registry, the sole appellant has unfortunately expired.
3. The appellant-plaintiff had filed a suit for permanent injunction which was decreed leading to the filing of the first appeal by the respondent-Municipal Committee. The appeal was partly allowed leading to the filing of the present appeal. Since no one has appeared on behalf of the appellant and there is no application for bringing on record LRs, the present appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, in case any dispute survives and there are LRs of appellants who would wish to pursue the matter, they would be at liberty to move an application for revival of the present appeal.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

10.08.2023
Prince Chawla

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No