

**131 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4516-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 03.03.2023

Balkar Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr.Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

The petitioners pray for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus to grant them benefit of increment, as granted to the similarly situated employees, on the basis of the judgment passed in CWP-632-2001 titled as '**Ajit Sinjgh and others v s. The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Punjab and another**', which was allowed on 21.02.2013. The petitioners, after attaining the age of superannuation, retired from their respective jobs. During the period of their service, the punishment orders were passed, while stopping their increments. Though this fact has not been disclosed, however, the learned counsel representing the petitioners admits that the orders were passed before 2003.

The filing of the writ petitions by certain other employees do not give a valid cause of action, to the petitioners, to the seek issuance of a writ. Moreover, the aforesaid case was decided on 21.02.2013. Hence,

the writ petition suffers from delay and laches.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also
disposed of.

03.03.2023
rekha
Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE