

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(125)

**CR No. 1378 of 2023
Date of Decision : 13.03.2023**

Raj Kanwar Since Deceased through Lrs.

...Petitioners

Versus

Sandeep and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raghav Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Satpal Bhasin, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that while passing the impugned order dated 01.02.2023 (Annexure P-11), one of the objection raised was that the proposed sale deed was not in the name of the plaintiff in whose favour the decree was passed but is in the name of someone else, another name has been given, which has not been adjudicated by the trial court but as a re-drafted proposed sale deed has been ordered to be placed on record, the present civil revision petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed with liberty to the petitioners to raise appropriate objection in case the said grievance subsists even as per the proposed sale deed to be filed in pursuance to the impugned order.

Ordered accordingly.

March 13, 2023
kanchan

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No