

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**RSA-803-1992
Decided on : 12.09.2023**

Shyam Sunder Purohit

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Kurda Ram

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. R.K. Girdwal, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellant informs the Court that there is no interest left in the disputed property of the appellant (plaintiff) – Sham Sunder Purohit, as the same has been disposed of, during the pendency of present appeal before this Court. Thus, he prays that present appeal be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent pleads no objection to the aforesaid prayer made by learned counsel for the appellant.
3. Accordingly, on the basis of statement made by learned counsel for the appellant, present appeal is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

September 12, 2023

J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No