

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.748 of 1992(O&M)

Date of Order:11.09.2023

Shri Kishori Lal Goel

.Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.
 Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. Though, the appellant remains unrepresented, with the able assistance of the learned counsel representing the State of Punjab, this court has considered the matter.

2. The trial court decreed the suit filed by the plaintiff for grant of declaration that the order recovering Rs.3975.62/- from the salary of the appellant is illegal. However, the First Appellate Court has reversed the judgment of the trial court while accepting the appeal.

3. The learned counsel representing the State of Punjab, on instructions from Sh. Jaspal Singh, Sub Divisional Officer, admits that there is no actual loss to the government and the appellant after attaining the age of superannuation has retired and the government does not intend to recover any amount from the appellant.

4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the regular second appeal is disposed of.

5. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 11, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO