

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4497-2023

Date of decision : 03.03.2023

Rajdeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Varinder Kumar Sandhir, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G. Punjab,  
for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) passed by respondent No.2, vide which, the petitioner has been suspended from the post of Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat Maujo Kalan, Block Bhikhi, District Mansa.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that against the order dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner has filed an appeal which was listed on 24.02.2023 and in the said case, notice was issued for 20.04.2023. It is further submitted that the petitioner would restrict her prayer in the present case for issuance of directions to

respondent No.1 to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 has submitted that every endeavour would be made by respondent No.1 to decide the appeal in the abovesaid time frame.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.1 to decide the appeal filed by the petitioner against the order dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

It is, however, made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case of the petitioner and respondent No.1 would decide the same independently, in accordance with law.

**03.03.2023***Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**