

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

**CWP-4360-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.03.2023**

Geetanjali

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Budhwar, Addl. AG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the order/notice dated 13.02.2023 (Annexure P-8) whereby the services of the petitioner are stated to be terminated after one month of the notice and further to allow the petitioner to continue on the work till the all regular employees joined in the Industrial Training Institute (ITI) as well as to adjust her till all the regular posts are filled up.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that in compliance of the orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court and direction passed by this Court, notice/order dated 13.02.2023 (Annexure P-8) has been issued by respondent No.3-Principal, Govt. ITI, Yamuna Nagar, to the petitioner quashing of her service by giving one month notice.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner had worked as Draughtsman Mechanical (DMM)

Instructor on contract basis and now her services are being dispensed with as stated above. However, new incumbent are coming but some posts of DMM Instructor are still lying vacant and submits that the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Skill Development and Industrial Training Department, Haryana, to accommodate the petitioner and adjust her on the post of DMM Instructor against vacant posts, as she has not been declared ineligible.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that if the petitioner moves a representation qua the relief as prayed for to respondent No.2, the same will be decided in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of hearing, but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to move a representation to respondent No.2 within one week from today, if so advised, and respondent No.2- Director, Skill Development and Industrial Training Department, Haryana, is also directed to decide the same by passing a speaking order within a period of one week, thereafter, in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

02.03.2023

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No