

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.11319 of 2023
Date of decision: 14th July, 2023

Khalid

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Talim Hussain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.0083 dated 19.04.2022 for the offences under Sections 3/13(1), 8/13(3) and 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 registered at Police Station Bichhore, District Nuh.

2. Vide order dated 03.03.2023, the petitioner had been granted concession of interim bail in terms of the following submissions made on 27.01.2023 in connected case i.e. CRM-M No.4222 of 2023:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner was neither apprehended at the spot nor any recovery, much less of the meat, effected from his possession.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 03.03.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Bachu Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 03.03.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No