

2023:PHHC:129584

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-2552 of 1992 (O&M)
Date of Order:06.10.2023

The Punjab State and others

.Appellants

Versus

Sh. Gurpal Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below is assailed by the State of Punjab in this regular second appeal.

2. The learned counsel representing the appellants very fairly submits that forfeiture of two years of approved service is not one of the punishments envisaged in the relevant Punishment and Appeal Rules applicable to the employees at the relevant time. He fairly concedes that in view of the judgment passed by this Court in Harminster Singh vs. State of Punjab and others, 2014(1) S.C.T.16, the appeal is liable to be dismissed.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the regular second appeal is dismissed in terms of the judgment passed in Harminster Singh's case (supra).

4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 06, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO