

**Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:051239
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(103)

**RSA No.1477 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 13.04.2023**

Jagmohan Singh and others

...Appellants

Versus

Sukhwinder Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate for the appellants.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the appellants are not aggrieved by the judgments of the Courts below in any manner and the appeal has only been filed to apprise this Court that the Government of India is the owner of the property in question and Surjit Kaur had only occupancy rights.

Keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the appellants, he was asked as to what prejudice has been suffered by the appellants qua the judgments and decrees of the Courts below, which have been impugned in the present Regular Second Appeal. Learned counsel for the appellants submits that he has suffered no prejudice.

Once learned counsel for the appellants conceded that the judgments challenged in the present appeal has not caused any prejudice to the appellants and no perversity in the judgments of the Courts below has been pointed out, no interference is called for by this Court in the present Regular Second Appeal.

Dismissed.

CM No.5871-C of 2021

Application is also dismissed.

April 13, 2023

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No