

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

RSA-2454 of 1992 (O&M)
Date of Order: 31.08.2023

Lt. Col. G.S.Grewal

.Appellant.

Versus

Punjabi University, Patiala

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Anupam Mathur, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Shrenik Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below is assailed in this regular second appeal by the plaintiff.
2. The plaintiff's services have been dispensed with while he was on the probation period. It has been found that the appellant on account of unsatisfactory work and conduct was suspended on 30.03.1988 and thereafter, his services were dispensed with by an innocuous order vide order dated 02.08.1988 on the recommendations of the Syndicate of the University.
3. The learned senior counsel representing the appellant has failed to draw the attention of the court to any misreading or non-reading of the substantive evidence which goes to the roots of the matter.
4. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts and discussion, no ground to interfere is made out.
5. Dismissed.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 31, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :YES/NO
Whether reportable :YES/NO