

CRWP-2819-2021
Date of Decision:09.05.2023

Sanjeev Kumar and others ...Petitioners

VS.

State of Punjab and others ... Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, as they apprehend threats at the hands of respondents No.7 to 10.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that son of the petitioner No.1 and 2, namely, Lovish had love affair with Ritika, daughter of respondent No.7 and both Lovish and Ritika had run away from home. He further contends that now respondent No.7 to 10, who are family members of Ritika, are visiting their house and are threatening them. Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that a representation (Annexure P-1) was submitted to the official respondents, but no action was taken on the same.

A status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Phagwara, Kapurthala has been filed on behalf of the official respondents. Learned State counsel contends that one FIR No.28 dated

02.02.2021, under Sections 363, 366-A IPC was registered at Police Station City Phagwara on the basis of the statement made by Sanjeev Kumar, respondent No.7 against Lovish and the matter is being investigated fairly. The petitioners No.1 and 2 are the parents of Lovish, accused, whereas, petitioner No.3 is the maternal aunt of Lovish, accused and they are not co-operating with the police in finding the whereabouts of Lovish. The learned State counsel has assured the Court that the matter shall be investigated fairly and impartially. Learned State counsel has further submitted that in case, the petitioners are threatened by anyone and a representation in this behalf is moved to the local police, the same shall be looked into and strict action shall be taken against the persons, who threaten or harass the petitioners.

In view of the assurance made by learned State counsel, this Court does not deem it appropriate to pass any further orders and the present petition stands disposed of.

09.05.2023

hemlata

**(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No