

2023:PHHC:067147

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(120)

CM-4423-CII-2023 in/and
CR-1485-2023

Date of Decision : May 10, 2023

Amar Kumar Dahiya

.. Petitioner

Versus

Narender Kumar Beniwal

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. B.S. Beniwal, Advocate, for the applicant-petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-4423-CII-2023

Present application has been filed for condonation of delay of 120 days in filing the revision petition.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed and delay of 120 days in filing the revision petition is condoned.

CR-1485-2023

1. On being pointed out to apprise this Court as to how the revision petition is maintainable keeping in view the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in *AIR 1961 SC 1299 titled as Sri Ratnavaramaraja vs. Smt. Vimla decided on 27.02.1961*, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present civil revision petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

2. Ordered accordingly.

May 10, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No