

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

2023:PHHC:123418

1. RSA-2415-1992 &
XOBS-18-C-1993
Date of decision: 20.09.2023

SURAT SINGH ..Appellant

Versus

THE HARYANA LAND RECLAMATION AND
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. AND ANR. ..Respondents

2. RSA-2416-1992 (O&M)

SURAT SINGH ..Appellant

Versus

THE HARYANA LAND RECLAMATION AND
DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION LTD. AND ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. As per the office report, the learned counsel representing the appellant has reported that the appellant expired a long ago and the brief was taken away from him by the family members of the appellant. However, they are not represented before the Court.
2. There is a cross-appeal filed by the management against the particular findings to the effect that the proper forum for the plaintiff is to avail remedy under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, by the First Appellate Court. However, the learned counsel representing the respondent admits that the plaintiff never availed the aforesaid remedy and the suit filed by the plaintiff was ultimately dismissed by the First Appellate Court.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the appeals are dismissed for non-prosecution, however, in the peculiar facts of the case, no further order in the cross-objection is required to be passed.
4. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 20th, 2023

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay
Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*