

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

RSA-2361-1992
Date of decision: 22.09.2023.

Satinder Singh

V/S

..... Appellant

Tejinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None.

AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)

Notice issued to appellants No.1 to 4 received back unserved with the report that they are not residing at the given address.

Notice issued to respondent No.1 received back unserved with the report that he is not residing at the given address and notice issued to respondent No.2 received back with the report that he has died.

As per the report of Registry, counsel for the appellant was informed vide this courts letter No.7423 dated 01.09.2023 which has been received back with the report that Mr.H.S. Mattewal, Advocate is the main counsel in this case.

As per the report, counsel for the respondents Mr. S.K.Pipat has died. Despite the matter being passed over twice, none has appeared on behalf of the appellant. The perusal of file reveals that earlier also on 17.08.2023, none had appeared on behalf of the appellant. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present RSA. Therefore, the present RSA stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

22.09.2023
Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No