

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-2284-1992

Date of Decision:- 12.10.2023

Puran

....Appellant

Vs.

Ram Chander and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: None.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

On the previous date, notice was sent to the counsels as well as to the appellant and the respondents in person. It is mentioned in the office report that Mr. Jaswant Jain, Advocate, counsel for the appellant has expired. One of the counsel for respondents No. 1 and 3 was elevated to the Bench, whereas, the counsel for respondents No. 2, 4 to 7 Mr. M.L. Saini was informed telephonically, but none appeared.

Notice was also sent to the appellant which was duly served but nobody has turned up to contest the present RSA.

Similarly, notice was also given to the respondents. As per the office report, respondents No. 1, 3 and 7 have expired; respondents No. 2 and 4 were served through son; notice issued to respondent No. 5 and 6 received back with the report that they are not residing on the given address, whereas notice issued to respondent No. 8A received back duly served and notice issued to respondent No. 8B received back served through his daughter.

Today, neither anybody has appeared on behalf of the appellant nor anybody has appeared for the respondents, despite making efforts to

procure their service by sending them notices. The conduct of appellant indicates that he is not interested to pursue the present Regular Second Appeal, as despite service nobody has turned up.

In view of these facts, the present Regular Second Appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

12.10.2023
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No