

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

107

RSA No.2033 of 1992 (O&M)  
Date of Order:12.09.2023

Romesh Chand (Ramesh Chand)

.Appellant

Versus

Harbans Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. C.M.Munjal, Advocate,  
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The correctness of the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the courts below is assailed by defendant no.5 in this regular second appeal.
2. The plaintiff's suit for grant of decree of declaration that he is entitled to the post of Boatman at the place of the appellant on the basis of seniority has been decreed, whereas the promotion order of the appellant has been declared null and void. It has been found that the plaintiff was appointed as work charge regular on 19.12.1978, whereas the appellant was appointed on 04.04.1982.
3. Though, the learned counsel representing the appellant has made sincere attempt, however, failed to draw the attention of the court to any substantive error in reading or non-reading of evidence.
4. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.
5. Dismissed.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 12, 2023

nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:YES/NO

:YES/NO