

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

112

2023:PHHC:117500

RSA-1874-1992
Date of decision: 06.09.2023

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS. ..Appellants

Versus

TEK CHAND ..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ashish Nagar, Advocate
for respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. The correctness of the concurrent findings of fact has been challenged by the defendant (State of Haryana) in this second appeal.
2. Both the Courts have found that the respondent's order of reversion could not be passed without holding a departmental inquiry because the reversion falls within the definition of major penalty.
3. The learned counsel representing the appellant admits that the respondent was reverted on the post of an Assistant Welder on 26.06.1985 and, thereafter, he was again promoted on 02.09.1985 within a few days.
4. The respondent must have retired as he joined the service on 01.12.1976.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to interfere is made out.
6. Dismissed accordingly.
7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 06th, 2023

Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No