

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

318

RSA-1697-1992 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 27.02.2023

SMT. JITO AND ORS.

.... Appellants

Vs.

SAMPURAN KAUR AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: None.

Manisha Batra, J. (Oral)

Notice issued to appellant No.2 has been received back with the report that he is not residing at the given address. Notices issued to appellants No.3 and 4 have been received back unserved with the report that they are married and are residing at their matrimonial houses respectively.

Notices issued to respondents No.1 and 2 have been received back with the report that they have expired.

Appellant No.1 had already expired and the present appellants No.2 to 4 who are legal representatives have already been brought on record.

Since the presence of the appellants cannot be secured and their counsel has also expired, therefore, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding with the present appeal any further.

Hence the appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE**

27.02.2023

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No