

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(201)

RSA No.1659 of 1992
Date of Decision:12.09.2023

Hanuman

.....Appellant

Versus

Smt. Prem Lata

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As per the report of the Registry, appellant and respondent No.2 have expired. Service to respondent No.3 has dispensed with vide order dated 06.09.2022.
2. Since no one has come forward till today for impleading the LR's of appellant or respondent no.2, it appears that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing the appeal.
3. The appeal is accordingly dismissed in default. However, in case the appellant is interested in pursuing the litigation, he would at liberty to move an appropriate application in this regard.

September 12th, 2023
Rekha

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.