

RSA-1648-1992

Date of Decision :27.02.2023

CHAND SINGH&Ors.

...Appellants

versus

KARNAIL SINGH THROUGH HIS
LRs AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Dr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.1.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Dr. Sukant Gupta, Advocate, informs that his father, Sh. S.P. Gupta, learned counsel who was earlier representing the appellants is no more and that since he has the custody of the case file, he had addressed a communication to all the appellants at the address as available in the memo of parties but the same has been received back undelivered with the remarks that there are no such persons at the address as given on the postal article(s). He states that he has no instructions in the matter. None else appears on behalf of the appellants nor has any power of attorney been filed. In the circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the appeal pending.

[2] Dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an application for restoration of the case in the eventuality of cause surviving.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

27.02.2023

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*