

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-1644-1992
Date of Decision:-3.10.2023

HANS RAJ AND ORS. ... Appellant(s)

Versus

PRITAM LAL AND ORS ... Respondent(s)

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-. -

Present:- Mr. Ravi Kumar Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. B.R. Gupta, Advocate for the appellants.

-. -

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. The counsel appearing for the appellants submits that he has no instructions to appear on behalf of the appellants.
2. On the last date of hearing, direction was issued to issue fresh notice to the appellants.
3. As per office report, notice sent to appellants received back with the report that no such person is residing in the village. Even the summons sent to respondents No.1 to 7 also received back with the similar report.
4. In the light of the above, present appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

3.10.2023
Gaurav Sorot

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No