

209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Neutral Citation No. 2023:PHHC:054348
CRM-M-11562-2023
Date of Decision: April 19, 2023

Rishabh ...Petitioner
Versus
State of UT Chandigarh and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Siddharth Pandit, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. A.M. Punchhi, PP for U.T., Chandigarh.
Mr. Jatin Khurana, Advocate for respondent No.2.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the copy of the order dated 15.03.2023 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Chandigarh, revealing that in compliance of the order dated 09.03.2023, petitioner has since surrendered and has been allowed bail.

Vakalatnama on behalf of complainant-respondent No.2 has been filed.

Counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 opposed the bail petition on the ground that after summoning order passed by the Court, petitioner approached this Court after about four years. This in itself cannot be a ground to reject the bail petition. Petitioner has been summoned in a private complaint filed by the respondent and so his custodial interrogation is obviously not required.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the order dated 09.03.2023, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall continue to join proceedings before the Court concerned regularly, unless his appearance is specifically exempted.

Disposed of.

April 19, 2023
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No