

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

RSA-1602-1992 (O&M)
Date of Order: 31.08.2023

Mehar Singh and others
Versus
Sat Parkash
..Appellants
..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. G.S.Bhatia, Advocate, for the appellants.
Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Vaibhav Katoch, Advocate, for the respondent.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. The learned counsel representing the appellants submits that one of the defendants has already sold his part of the property, whereas the second defendant has already removed the covered portion of “XY’. He submits that the suit was only for grant of perpetual injunction and the plaintiffs will file a fresh suit if a fresh cause of action arises.
2. With these observations, the appeal is disposed of.
3. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 31, 2023
nt
(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :YES/NO
Whether reportable :YES/NO