

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

321

RSA No.26 of 1993
Date of decision:11.05.2023

SUKHNA PAPER MILLS
Versus
PYARA SINGH AND OTHERS

.... Appellant
.... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. Bawa Karanveer, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate for the respondents.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that no such person as mentioned therein, is residing at the given address whereas, notice issued to Mr. Deepak Suri, Advocate who was previously representing the appellant, received back unserved with the report that he is not dealing with this case any more.

Since none has appeared on behalf of the appellant despite repeated calls, therefore, it appears that the appellant is not interested to pursue the present appeal.

Hence, the present appeal is dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

11.05.2023
Jyoti-IV

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No