

DEEPAK KUMAR @ RAJU

VERSUS

...RESPONDENT

Present: Mr. Ketan Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Custody certificate of the petitioner has been placed on record.
2. Petitioner is seeking regular bail in the case bearing FIR No.74 dated 20.04.2019, under Sections 395/452/455/506 IPC, 1860 and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala.
3. Status report by way of affidavit of Sukhamrit Singh Randhawa, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Detective Patiala on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed and the same is taken on record.
4. Learned State counsel submits that out of 28, 25 witnesses have already been examined in the trial Court.
5. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition and submits that appropriate directions may be issued to the learned trial Court for expeditious disposal of the case.
6. Dismissed as withdrawn
7. However, the learned trial Court is requested to look into the feasibility

CRM-M-15657-2023

-2-

of expeditious disposal of the case as the petitioner is in custody for a period of 04 years.

12.09.2023

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No