

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

102

RSA-2198-1990(O&M)
Date of Order:22.09.2023

Bhajan Singh

.Appellant

Versus

Rattna and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ankit Midha, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. C.L.Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

1. Sh. Ankit Midha, the learned counsel representing the appellant submits that he has no instructions in the matter as the case was filed by his grand father, who is no more.

2. Sh. C.L.Sharma, the learned counsel representing the respondents submits that he has no instructions in the matter because at that relevant time he was practicing in the chambers of Sh. Joginder Singh Vasu, Senior Advocate.

3. It has been noticed that the office has on more than one occasion sent notices to the parties, however, because of regular change in their addresses, the notices have been received back unserved. In this situation, this Court is left with no other choice but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution with liberty to the parties to file an application for revival.

4. The pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

September 22, 2023
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:YES/NO
:YES/NO