

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRWP-1910-2023 (O&M)
Decided on : 24.03.2023

Gagandeep Singh Chauhan

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Jatinder Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Order dated 27.02.2023, passed by this Court says as under:

“By way of instant petition, petitioner Gagan Deep Singh aged 26 years has sought the issuance of a Writ in the nature Habeas Corpus for release of his friend Pallavi Tiwari aged 22 years from the illegal custody of private respondents No.5 to 7, who are family members of the detainee .

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner and the detainee are friends for the last two years and said relation was never liked by the family members of the detainee. Now forcibly, against the wishes of the detainee she is not allowed to come out of the house and thus kept in illegal custody such detention is against the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India. Counsel for the petitioner has referred to the whatsapp messages forwarded by the detainee to the petitioner dated 18.02.2022 and 22.04.2022 (Annexure P-1 and P-2).

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 and seeks time to file status report. A complete copy of paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Respondents No. 5 to 7 would be informed by

respondent No.4 i.e. Station House Officer, Police Station, Division No.8, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar about the next date of hearing and to remain present before this Court.

Adjourned to 24.03.2023.

Meanwhile, respondent No.3 would ensure the security of life of the detainee. For the said purpose, may depute Police Official(s) to visit in civil clothes to the concerned locality of the detainee or the residence/place, where alleged detainee is staying and then to examine and assess the threat perception.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per his instructions, detainee has already been released. Thus, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

In view of the aforementioned statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present petition is ordered to have been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

24.03.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No