

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10688-2023

Date of Decision :17.04.2023

Shivtaj @ Bittu

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the present petition which has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner is not being pressed any further but as considerable time has already elapsed and the trial has not been concluded as out of the total 49 cited witnesses only 09 witnesses have been examined so far, trial Court may kindly be directed to conclude the trial expeditiously so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Learned State counsel does not oppose the said prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Keeping in view the factum that FIR in the present case was registered in the year 2016 and the petitioner is behind the bars for the last five years, present petition is disposed of having been not pressed any further with a direction to the trial Court to make all endeavor to conclude

the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court even if, the said purpose is to be achieved by granting short adjournments and by using all methods within the jurisdiction of the trial Court for securing the presence of the witnesses for recording their testimonies.

April 17, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No