

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

2023:PHHC:128200

RSA-1035-1992
Date of decision: 04.10.2023

RANJIT SINGH ..Appellant

Versus

MUNICIPALITY, RAJPURA & ANR. ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. Called twice.
2. The learned counsel representing the appellant did not come forward to press this appeal.
3. A perusal of the paperbook shows that the appellant claims that he was repeatedly appointed on a temporary basis for 89 days. He filed a suit for grant of decree of declaration that his service could not be dispensed with vide order dated 10.09.1986. In substance, the plaintiff claims that on completion of 240 days of service, he was deemed to have been regularized.
4. In view of the reversal of the judgment of this Court by the Supreme Court in State of Haryana Vs. Piara Singh, 1992 AIR (Supreme Court) 2130, the employee employed on a temporary basis cannot claim regularization on completion of 240 days of continuous service. However, since the learned counsel representing the appellant has not come forward to press the appeal.
5. Hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.
6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 04th, 2023

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Ay
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No