

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4173-2023

Date of decision : 01.03.2023

Tisya Gulati (Minor)

...Petitioner

Versus

Chairman, Central Board of Secondary Education and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Central Government Counsel
Advocate for respondent No.4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to enter the correct date of birth of the petitioner in the passport.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although, two prayers have been made in the present Civil Writ Petition but the petitioner would press her prayer with respect to correction of her date of birth in the passport, regarding which, representation dated 03.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) has been made to respondent No.4-Regional Passport Officer. It is prayed in the said representation that the date of birth of the petitioner in the passport be corrected from 19.07.2007 to 23.02.2007, as

per the school record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case, respondent No.4-Regional Passport Officer, is directed to consider representation dated 03.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and in a time bound manner.

On the other hand, learned counsel for respondent No.4 has submitted that representation dated 03.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) would be considered by respondent No.4 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and every effort would be made to consider the same within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the present order.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.4 to consider representation dated 03.02.2023 (Annexure P-12) in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of present order.

It is, however, made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case of the petitioner and respondent No.4 would decide the same, in accordance with law.

01.03.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No